

## EXTENSIONS OF A THEOREM OF WINTNER ON SYSTEMS WITH ASYMPTOTICALLY CONSTANT SOLUTIONS

BY

WILLIAM F. TRENCH

**ABSTRACT.** A theorem of Wintner concerning sufficient conditions for a system  $y' = A(t)y$  to have linear asymptotic equilibrium is extended to a system  $x' = A(t)x + f(t, x)$ . The integrability conditions imposed on  $f$  permit conditional convergence of some of the improper integrals that occur. The results improve on Wintner's even if  $f = 0$ .

An  $n \times n$  system

$$(1) \quad y' = A(t)y, \quad t > 0,$$

is said to have linear asymptotic equilibrium if for each constant vector  $c$  there is a solution of (1) such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = c$ . It is well known that (1) has this property if  $A$  is continuous and

$$(2) \quad \int_0^\infty \|A(t)\| dt < \infty.$$

Wintner [5] attributed this result to Bôcher and improved on it as follows.

**THEOREM 1 (WINTNER).** *Let  $A$  be continuous on  $[a, \infty)$  and suppose the integrals*

$$(3) \quad A_j(t) = \int_t^\infty A_{j-1}(s) A(s) ds, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k \quad (A_0 = I),$$

*converge, and*

$$(4) \quad \int_0^\infty \|A_k(t) A(t)\| dt < \infty.$$

*Then (1) has linear asymptotic equilibrium.*

Notice that (3) is vacuous and (4) reduces to (2) when  $k = 0$ .

Here we apply Wintner's idea to the system

$$(5) \quad x' = A(t)x + f(t, x).$$

We give sufficient conditions for (5) to have a solution  $x$  such that

$$(6) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = c$$

---

Received by the editors February 29, 1984.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 34C11, 34D10.

©1986 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9947/86 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

for a given constant vector  $c$ . The assumptions on  $f$  in our main theorem apply only "near" the set  $\{(t, c) | t \geq a\}$ . Our integral smallness conditions permit conditional convergence of some of the improper integrals that occur. This continues a theme developed previously in [3 and 4]. (See also Hallam [1, 2].) The idea of dealing with the iterated integrals (3) is due to Wintner [5].

Throughout the paper  $k$  is a nonnegative integer. It is to be understood that conditions stated for  $1 \leq j \leq k$  are vacuous if  $k = 0$ , and that  $\sum_{j=1}^0 = 0$ . Our results apply with  $x$ ,  $A$ , and  $f$  real- or complex-valued. Improper integrals occurring in hypotheses are tacitly assumed to converge, and the convergence may be conditional except when the integrand is obviously nonnegative. We use " $O$ " and " $o$ " in the standard way to indicate orders of magnitude as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

To indicate the direction of proof of our main theorem, it is convenient to state part of its hypotheses here.

ASSUMPTION A. Let  $w$  be continuous and nonincreasing on  $[a, \infty)$ ,  $0 < w(t) \leq 1$ , and suppose that either  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} w(t) = 0$  or  $w = 1$ . Suppose  $A$  is continuous on  $[a, \infty)$  and  $A_j$  in (3) exists for  $1 \leq j \leq k$ . Let  $c$  be a given constant vector, and suppose there is a constant  $M > 0$  such that  $f$  is continuous and

$$(7) \quad \|f(t, x) - f(t, c)\| \leq R(t, \|x - c\|)$$

on

$$(8) \quad S = \{(t, x) | t \geq a, \|x - c\| \leq Mw(t)\},$$

where  $R(t, \lambda)$  is continuous on  $\{(t, \lambda) | t \geq a, 0 \leq \lambda \leq Mw(t)\}$  and nondecreasing in  $\lambda$  for each  $t$ .

We define  $\Gamma_k = \sum_{j=0}^k A_j$ , and observe from (3) that

$$(9) \quad \Gamma'_k = -\Gamma_{k-1}A, \quad k \geq 0 \quad (\Gamma_{-1} = 0).$$

Moreover, since  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_k(t) = I$ ,  $\Gamma_k$  is invertible for large  $t$ , and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_k^{-1}(t) = I$ .

Now let  $t_0 \geq a$  be such that  $\Gamma_k^{-1}$  exists on  $[t_0, \infty)$ . For convenience below, we define

$$(10) \quad \mu_k(t) = \sup_{s \geq t} \{\|\Gamma_k^{-1}(s)\|\} = 1 + o(1),$$

and

$$(11) \quad \nu_k(t) = \mu_k(t) \sup_{s \geq t} \{\|\Gamma_k(s)\|\} = 1 + o(1).$$

Let  $C[t_0, \infty)$  be the space of continuous  $n$ -vector functions (with real or complex components) on  $[t_0, \infty)$ , with the topology of uniform convergence on finite intervals. Let  $V[t_0, \infty)$  be the closed convex subset of  $C[t_0, \infty)$  defined by

$$(12) \quad V[t_0, \infty) = \{x \in C[t_0, \infty) | \|x(t) - c\| \leq Mw(t), t \geq t_0\}.$$

We obtain our results by applying the Schauder-Tychonov theorem to an appropriate transformation  $T$  of  $V[t_0, \infty)$  (for sufficiently large  $t_0$ ) into itself. To motivate the choice of  $T$ , we observe that if

$$x(t) = c - \int_t^\infty [A(s)x(s) + f(s, x(s))] ds,$$

where the integral is assumed to converge, then  $x$  satisfies (5) and (6). Repeated integration by parts, assuming at each step that  $x$  satisfies (5), yields the equation

$$(13) \quad \Gamma_k(t)x(t) = c - \int_t^\infty A_k(s)A(s)x(s) ds - \int_t^\infty \Gamma_k(s)f(s, x(s)) ds.$$

Although these manipulations are completely formal, (13) suggests the transformation  $T$  defined by

$$(14) \quad (Tx)(t) = \Gamma_k^{-1}(t) \left[ c - \int_t^\infty [A_k(s)A(s)x(s) + \Gamma_k(s)f(s, x(s))] ds \right].$$

Assumption A implies that the function  $F(t) = f(t, x(t))$  is continuous on  $[t_0, \infty)$  if  $x \in V[t_0, \infty)$ . Hence, if the integrals in (14) converge, differentiation yields

$$(15) \quad (Tx)'(t) = \Gamma_k^{-1}(t) [\Gamma_{k-1}(t)A(t)(Tx)(t) + A_k(t)A(t)x(t)] + f(t, x(t)),$$

where we have used (9) and the fact that  $(\Gamma_k^{-1})' = -\Gamma_k^{-1}\Gamma_k'\Gamma_k^{-1}$ . Therefore, if  $T$  has a fixed point (function)  $x_0$  in  $V[t_0, \infty)$ , we see on setting  $Tx = x = x_0$  in (15) that

$$\begin{aligned} x_0'(t) &= \Gamma_k^{-1}(t) [\Gamma_{k-1}(t) + A_k(t)] A(t)x_0(t) + f(t, x(t)) \\ &= A(t)x_0(t) + f(t, x(t)) \end{aligned}$$

(since  $\Gamma_{k-1} + A_k = \Gamma_k$ ); i.e.,  $x_0$  satisfies (5). Moreover, setting  $Tx = x = x_0$  in (14) shows that  $x_0$  also satisfies (6).

The following theorem allows the integrals occurring in  $Tc$  (the function obtained by setting  $x = c$  in (14)) to converge conditionally, and exploits the rapidity with which  $Tc - c$  approaches zero for large  $t$  to restrict the set  $V[t_0, \infty)$  on which  $T$  must satisfy the hypotheses of the Schauder-Tychonov theorem. (See Remark 1, below.)

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose Assumption A holds. Let*

$$(16) \quad h(t) = (\Gamma_k^{-1}(t) - I)c - \Gamma_k^{-1}(t) \int_t^\infty [A_k(s)A(s)c + \Gamma_k(s)f(s, c)] ds,$$

*and suppose that*

$$(17) \quad \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|h(t)\|}{w(t)} = \alpha.$$

*Suppose also that*

$$(18) \quad \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_t^\infty \left[ \frac{R(s, Mw(s))}{M} + \|A_k(s)A(s)\|w(s) \right] ds = \theta < 1$$

*and*

$$(19) \quad \alpha < M(1 - \theta).$$

Then, if  $t_0$  is sufficiently large, there is a solution  $x_0$  of (5) on  $[t_0, \infty)$  such that

$$(20) \quad \|x_0(t) - c\| \leq Mw(t), \quad t \geq t_0,$$

and

$$(21) \quad \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} (w(t))^{-1} \|x_0(t) - c\| \leq \alpha + M\theta;$$

or, more precisely,

$$(22) \quad \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} (w(t))^{-1} \|x_0(t) - c - h(t)\| \leq M\theta.$$

PROOF. We can rewrite (14) as

$$(23) \quad (Tx)(t) = c + h(t) - \Gamma_k^{-1}(t) \int_t^\infty [A_k(s)A(s)(x(s) - c) \\ + \Gamma_k(s)(f(s, x(s)) - f(s, c))] ds,$$

where the integral converges if  $x \in V[t_0, \infty)$ , because of (7), (12), and (18); moreover

$$(24) \quad \|(Tx)(t) - c\| \leq \|h(t)\| + M\mu_k(t) \int_t^\infty \|A_k(s)A(s)\|w(s) ds \\ + \nu_k(t) \int_t^\infty R(s, Mw(s)) ds.$$

From (10), (11), (18), and (19), we can assume henceforth that  $t_0$  is so large that the right side of (24) is  $\leq Mw(t)$  if  $t \geq t_0$ . Then

$$(25) \quad T(V[t_0, \infty)) \subset V[t_0, \infty).$$

Now we show that  $T$  is continuous on  $V[t_0, \infty)$ . Let  $\{x_j\}$  be a sequence in  $V[t_0, \infty)$  which converges to a limit  $x$  in  $V[t_0, \infty)$ . From (10), (11), and (23).

$$\|(Tx_j)(t) - (Tx)(t)\| \leq \mu_k(t_0) \int_{t_0}^\infty \|A_k(s)A(s)\| \|x_j(s) - x(s)\| ds \\ + \nu_k(t_0) \int_{t_0}^\infty \|f(s, x_j(s)) - f(s, x(s))\| ds, \quad t \geq t_0.$$

The integrands here converge to zero on  $[t_0, \infty)$ , and they are dominated by  $2M\|A_k(s)A(s)\|w(s)$  and  $2R(s, Mw(s))$ , respectively. (See (7) and (12).) Therefore, (18) and Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem imply that the integrals approach zero as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence,  $\{Tx_j\}$  converges to  $Tx$  uniformly on  $[t_0, \infty)$ , and therefore  $T$  is continuous on  $V[t_0, \infty)$ .

From (12) and (25),  $T(V[t_0, \infty))$  is equibounded on finite intervals. This, (15), and Assumption A imply that  $T(V[t_0, \infty))$  is also equicontinuous on finite intervals. Now we have verified the hypotheses of the Schauder-Tychonov theorem, which implies that  $Tx_0 = x_0$  for some  $x_0$  in  $V[t_0, \infty)$ . Setting  $Tx = x_0$  in (24) and invoking (10), (11), (17), and (18) yields (20). Similarly, setting  $x = Tx = x_0$  in (23) yields (22). This completes the proof.

COROLLARY 1. *In addition to the assumptions of Theorem 2, suppose that*

$$(26) \quad R(t, \lambda_1)/R(t, \lambda_2) \leq \lambda_1/\lambda_2, \quad 0 \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2.$$

*Then (21) can be replaced by*

$$(27) \quad \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} (w(t))^{-1} \|x_0(t) - c\| \leq \alpha/(1 - \theta).$$

PROOF. Let

$$\phi(t) = \sup_{s \geq t} \{ (w(s))^{-1} \|x_0(s) - c\| \}$$

and

$$(28) \quad \delta = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi(t) = \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} (w(t))^{-1} \|x_0(t) - c\|.$$

Setting  $x = Tx = x_0$  in (23) and using routine estimates yields

$$(29) \quad \|x_0(t) - c\| \leq \|h(t)\| + \nu_k(t) \int_t^\infty \|A_k(s)A(s)\| \|x_0(s) - c\| ds \\ + \mu_k(t) \int_t^\infty R(s, \|x_0(s) - c\|) ds.$$

Applying (26) in the second integral and then dividing (29) by  $w(t)$  yields the inequality

$$(30) \quad (w(t))^{-1} \|x_0(t) - c\| \leq (w(t))^{-1} \|h(t)\| + P(t)\phi(t),$$

where

$$P(t) = (w(t))^{-1} \left[ \nu_k(t) \int_t^\infty \|A_k(s)A(s)\| w(s) ds + \frac{\mu_k(t)}{M} \int_t^\infty R(s, Mw(s)) ds \right],$$

so that

$$(31) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P(t) = \theta,$$

from (10), (11), and (18). Letting  $t \rightarrow \infty$  in (30) and invoking (17), (28), and (31) shows that  $\delta \leq \alpha + \theta\delta$ , which proves (27).

It is worthwhile to state Theorem 2 separately for the case where  $w = 1$ , so that  $\alpha$  and  $\theta$  are necessarily zero and (19) is automatic.

THEOREM 3. *Suppose Assumption A holds with  $w = 1$ . Suppose also that the integral in (16) converges and that*

$$(32) \quad \int_t^\infty R(t, M) dt < \infty, \quad \int_t^\infty \|A_k(t)A(t)\| dt < \infty.$$

*Then, if  $t_0$  is sufficiently large, there is a solution  $x_0$  of (5) on  $[t_0, \infty)$  such that  $\|x_0(t) - c\| \leq M$  for  $t \geq t_0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x_0(t) = c$ .*

REMARK 1. The continuity assumption on  $f$  is the most stringent when  $w = 1$ , since the set  $S$  in (8) is maximized in that case. More importantly, if  $R$  satisfies (26), then (32) implies (18) with  $\theta = 0$  for every admissible  $w \neq 1$ , while the converse is obviously false. Nevertheless, the conclusions of Theorem 2 are *weakest* when

$w = 1$ . (See Theorem 3.) The reason for this is that in Theorem 3 it is assumed only that the integral in (16) converges, while Theorem 2 exploits the rapidity of its convergence.

The hypotheses of Theorem 2 may hold for some constant vectors  $c$  and fail to hold for others. In the following theorem  $c$  may be chosen arbitrarily.

**THEOREM 4.** *Suppose  $f$  is continuous for  $t \geq a$  and all  $x$ , and*

$$\|f(t, x_1) - f(t, x_2)\| \leq R(t, \|x_1 - x_2\|),$$

*where  $R(t, \lambda)$  is continuous on  $[a, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$  and nondecreasing in  $\lambda$ . For some integer  $k \geq 0$ , suppose the integrals  $A_1, \dots, A_{k+1}$  converge, and*

$$(33) \quad \|A_j(t)\| = O(w(t)), \quad 1 \leq j \leq k+1.$$

*Suppose also that*

$$(34) \quad \left\| \int_t^\infty \Gamma_k(s) f(s, c) ds \right\| = O(w(t))$$

*for every constant vector  $c$ , and that (18) holds for all  $M > 0$ . Then, if  $c$  is a given constant vector, there is a solution  $x_0$  of (5) which is defined for  $t$  sufficiently large and satisfies*

$$(35) \quad \|x_0(t) - c\| = O(w(t)).$$

*Moreover, if  $\theta = 0$  in (18) and (33) and (34) hold with “ $O$ ” replaced by “ $o$ ” (which is necessarily true if  $w = 1$ ), then (35) holds with “ $O$ ” replaced by “ $o$ .”*

**PROOF.** The hypotheses imply (17) for some  $\alpha$  (which may depend upon  $c$ , but is zero if (33) and (34) hold with “ $o$ ”). Simply choose  $M$  to satisfy (19) and invoke Theorem 2.

Theorem 4 has the following corollary for the linear system

$$(36) \quad x' = [A(t) + B(t)]x + g(t).$$

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $g$  be continuous on  $[a, \infty)$ . Suppose (33) holds.*

$$(37) \quad \left\| \int_t^\infty \Gamma_k(s) g(s) ds \right\| = O(w(t)), \quad \left\| \int_t^\infty \Gamma_k(s) B(s) ds \right\| = O(w(t)).$$

*and*

$$(38) \quad \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} (w(t))^{-1} \int_t^\infty [\|A_k(s)A(s)\| + \|B(s)\|] w(s) ds = \theta < 1.$$

*Then, for any constant  $c$ , (36) has a solution which satisfies (35); moreover, if  $\theta = 0$  and (33) and (37) hold with “ $O$ ” replaced by “ $o$ ,” then so does (35).*

The following special case of Corollary 2 extends Theorem 1.

**COROLLARY 3.** *Suppose (33) holds and*

$$\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} (w(t))^{-1} \int_t^\infty \|A_k(s)A(s)\| w(s) ds < 1$$

*for some  $w$  as in Assumption A. Then (1) has linear asymptotic equilibrium.*

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. T. G. Hallam, *Asymptotic integration of second order differential equations with integrable coefficients*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **19** (1970), 430–439.
2. ———, *Asymptotic integration of a nonhomogeneous differential equation with integrable coefficients*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **21** (1971), 661–671.
3. W. F. Trench, *Systems of differential equations subject to mild integral conditions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **87** (1983), 263–270.
4. ———, *Asymptotics of differential systems with deviating arguments*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **92** (1984), 219–224.
5. A. Wintner, *On a theorem of Bôcher in the theory of ordinary linear differential equations*, Amer. J. Math. **76** (1954), 183–190.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, DREXEL UNIVERSITY, PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19104